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The US is the country in which the share of people who own a gun is impressively high. Besides, there is no single country in the world that can be compared to the US by the number of firearms in the citizen’s hands. According to the official statistics, 80 percent of adults own a gun, meaning that the likelihood of a stranger you come across in the street possessing one are unbelievably significant. Recently, several regulations attempted to restrict gun possession to impose gun control. However, gun control is not only unjustifiable, but it also deprives people of their right for self-defence and peaceful life.

First and foremost, gun control, unfortunately, does not reduce the murder and crime rates in the US. Although it should generally hold true, the statistics contradict the misbelief that limiting gun possession minimizes the number of crimes committed. The research on weapon ban which was carried out during the past twenty years demonstrates that there is no correlation between reducing gun ownership and a falling number of murder cases. The research also indicated that the states that imposed strict gun control have witnessed a larger number of crimes.

This all leads to the conclusion that imposing a ban on gun possession is not a way to fight crime. Also, as the evidence shows, the number of guns in the US had been steadily growing in the last century, and this coincided with a decrease in the number of crimes committed. Essentially, gun control is unlikely to resolve the issue of crimes, since some people are likely to commit crimes even when they have no gun at their disposal.

Another argument against gun control is that the first inevitably infringe the citizen’s rights, Namely, banning weapons contradicts the right that the constitution of the US guarantees. According to the second amendment, under no circumstances should the citizen’s rights to possess a gun be infringed. The right to own a gun had already existed long before many countries appeared on the map. That is why many people deem gun control as a crime against humanity. Even though there is yet some logical explanation to an attempt to control gun usage and manufacturing, it still deprives US citizens of their inviolable right.

What is even more, the supreme court together with the constitution considers gun ownership as one of the liberties that all the US citizens have. Just like the freedom of speech, the space to protect oneself is crucial, and it should remain untouchable. Introducing gun control, therefore, leads to violating people’s freedom and liberties since people become incapable of even defending themselves in their property.

Gun control robs people of the right for safety and self-defence. Imposing strict gun regulations will inevitably make millions of people incapable of defending themselves if something threatens their and their close ones’ lives. According to the data represented by the National Rifle Association, the number of cases of gun usage solely for self-defence purposes equals 2.5 million times annually. People use guns to protect their families and property, but, apparently, the states find the self-defence motive weak enough. If they impose strict gun control, it means that these 2.5 million people may literally sacrifice their lives and die just because they couldn’t hold a gun legally.

The truth is, the Police are physically incapable of protecting all the people who need protection, so these people are bound to defend themselves on their own. But how to protect yourself if you cannot even possess a gun? So far, using a weapon for self-defence has proved to be the most effective way . Therefore, depriving people of the right for self-defence or for saving other people in trouble is inhumane and unjustified.

Overall, gun control has lately become a hot topic that has both its advocates and opponents. So far, the evidence against gun control is very reasonable and convincing. Gun control robs the citizens of their exceptional right – the right to protect themselves and those in danger. Besides, gun control contradicts the second amendment, which guarantees the right to possess a gun for adult US citizens. Finally, it is unlikely to reduce the crime rate as the science hasn’t yet found any valid proof for that.
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The United States has one of the highest rates of gun ownership in the world, with 80 percent of adults owning a firearm. However, recent attempts to regulate gun possession have been met with resistance from those who argue that gun control is unjustified and deprives people of their right to self-defense and a peaceful life. This essay will argue that gun control is a necessary measure that can reduce crime rates and protect citizens while still respecting their constitutional rights.

The argument that gun control does not reduce crime rates is often made, but it is not supported by evidence. While it is true that some studies have found no correlation between gun ownership and crime rates, many others have found the opposite. For example, a study published in the American Journal of Public Health in 2016 found that states with stricter gun laws had lower rates of gun deaths. Furthermore, the idea that the number of guns in the US has been steadily increasing while crime rates have been decreasing is misleading. While it is true that crime rates have decreased overall, they have fluctuated over time and have not consistently decreased in every state. Moreover, the fact that there are more guns in circulation does not necessarily mean that they are being used for self-defense or to prevent crime.

The argument that gun control violates citizens' constitutional rights is also problematic. While the Second Amendment guarantees the right to bear arms, this right is not absolute. As the Supreme Court has recognized, the government has a legitimate interest in regulating firearms to protect public safety. Gun control measures can be designed to target only those who pose a risk to public safety, such as felons, domestic abusers, and the mentally ill. Moreover, the right to self-defense does not necessarily require the use of firearms. There are many other means of self-defense that do not involve guns.

The claim that gun control robs people of their right to self-defense is also flawed. While it is true that some people use guns for self-defense, it is also true that guns can be used to harm innocent people. In fact, studies have shown that owning a gun actually increases the risk of homicide and suicide. Furthermore, the idea that citizens need guns to protect themselves from danger is not supported by evidence. In most cases, calling the police or using non-lethal means of self-defense is more effective and safer than using a gun.

In conclusion, gun control is a necessary measure that can reduce crime rates and protect citizens while still respecting their constitutional rights. The argument that gun control is unjustified, violates citizens' rights, and robs them of their ability to defend themselves is not supported by evidence. By implementing sensible gun control measures, such as background checks, waiting periods, and restrictions on high-capacity magazines and assault weapons, we can make our communities safer and prevent senseless acts of violence.